

**DRAFT MOTION:**

The Faculty Senate moves to adopt the following changes to the UAF Grade Appeals Policy

Effective: Immediately

Rationale: The Grade Appeals Policy was last revised in 2009. The current revisions address the inclusion of faculty member instead of only tenured faculty members in the Grade Appeals committee. This is intended to be a clean-up of the language only.

APPROVAL: \_\_\_\_\_  
Chancellor's Office

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

DISAPPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

written request for review under the following procedures shall be expected to abide by the disposition of the review, as provided below, and may not seek further review of the matter under any other procedure within the university.

## II. Definitions

- A. A "grade" refers to final letter grades A, B, C, D, F, and Pass. The I (incomplete) **AND DEFERRED (DEFERRED)** designat[es] a temporary grade, [[for only one year] it is **THESE ARE** not subject to appeal until **THOSE** become[[s] final.
- B. For the purpose of this procedure, "arbitrary and capricious" grading means:
1. the assignment of a course grade to a student on some basis other than performance in the course, or
  2. the assignment of a course grade to a student by resorting to standards different from those which were applied to other students in that course, or
  3. the assignment of a course grade by a substantial, unreasonable and unannounced departure from the instructor's previously articulated standards.
- C. "Grading error" denotes errors in the calculation of grades rather than errors in judgment.
- D. As used in the schedule for review of academic decisions, a class day is any day of scheduled instruction, excluding Saturday and Sunday, included on the academic calendar in effect at the time of a review. Final examination periods are counted as class days.
- E. "Department chair" for the purposes of this policy denotes the [[admin]strative chair **ELECTED FACULTY MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR THE** [[of the] academic unit offering the course (e.g., [[the chair [r coordinator] of an academic department, or the campus director if the faculty member is in the College of Rural Alaska).
- F. The "dean/director" is the administrative] **HEAD** of the college or school offering the course or program from which the academic decision or action arises. For students at extended campuses the director of the campus may substitute for the dean/director of the campus offering the course or program.
- G. "Final grade" for the purposes of this policy is the grade assigned for a course upon its completion.
- H. A "grading error" is a mathematical miscalculation of a final grade or an inaccurate recording of the final grade.
- I. The next regular semester is the fall semester following that in which the disputed academic decision was made. For example, it would be the fall semester for a final grade issued for a course completed during the previous spring semester or summer session. The next spring semester is the next semester for an academic decision made during the previous fall semester.

### III. Procedures

- A. Errors by an instructor in determining and recording a grade or by the university staff in transcribing the grade are sources of error that can be rectified through the student's prompt attention following the normal change of grade procedure.
1. It is a student's obligation to notify the instructor of any possible error immediately by the most direct means available. If this is through an instructor and/or the issue is not immediately resolved, it is the student's responsibility to provide the instructor with a signed, written request for review of the grade, with a copy to the unit department chair and the dean of the college or school in which the course was offered.
  2. Notification must be received by the instructor and/or department chair within 30 class days after the beginning of the next regular semester (i.e., fall semester for grade issued at the end of the previous spring semester session; spring semester for grade issued at the end of the previous fall semester). [FS Meeting #157, March 2009.]
  3. The instructor is responsible for notifying the student in writing of his or her final judgment concerning the grade in question within 5 days of receipt of the request, and promptly submitting the appropriate change of grade form to the Registrar's Office if an error occurred.
  4. If the student does not receive a response from the instructor or the unit department chair by the required deadline, the student must seek the assistance of the dean of the college or school in which the course was offered.
  5. If the instructor is no longer an employee of the university or is otherwise unavailable, the student must bring the matter to the attention of the unit department chair who will make every effort to contact the instructor by the 15th class day of the next regular semester.
    - a. If the instructor can not be contacted but course records are available, the department chair will effect resolution within 5 class days of notification by the student. The department chair may correct a grading error through the regular change of grade process on behalf of the instructor.
    - b. If the instructor can not be contacted and course records are available but are indecisive, the student may request a review following the procedure outlined below.
    - c. If the instructor can be contacted and elects to participate, then a constructive participation is to be welcomed by the review committee. The procedure of Paragraph III.A.5.a. or Paragraph III.A.5.b. will be instituted if the instructor withdraws from participation.

such a case, upon request from the student, the dean of students, after review of supporting documentation provided by the student, may recommend to the grade appeal committee that the deadlines be adjusted accordingly. An extension of the deadline will be limited to one semester but every effort should be made to complete the appeal process within the current semester.

B. If no such error occurred, the remaining option is by review for alleged arbitrary and capricious grading, or for instances where the course instructor is unavailable and satisfactory is not forthcoming from the appropriate department chair.

1. This review is initiated by the student through a signed, written request to the department chair with a copy by the dean of the college or school in which the course was offered.

a. The student's request for review may be submitted using university forms specifically designed for this purpose and available at the Registrar's Office.

b. By submitting a request for a review, the student acknowledges that no additional mechanisms exist within the university for the review of the grade, and that the university's administration cannot influence or affect the outcome of the review.

c. The request for a review must be received ON OR BEFORE THE 30TH DAY OF INSTRUCTION OF the next regular semester (i.e., fall semester for grade issued at the end of the previous spring semester or summer session; spring semester for grade issued at the end of the previous fall semester or summer session) or within 5 days of receipt of notification of the process by the dean/director of the college or school in which the course was offered.

d. The request must detail the basis for the allegation that a grade was improper as the result of arbitrary and capricious grading and must present the relevant evidence.

2. It is the responsibility of the department chair to formally notify both the instructor who issued the grade and the dean of the unit's college or school that a request for a review of grade has been received.

3. If the instructor of the course is also the department chair, the Dean of the College will designate another department chair within the college to act as the department's representative for all proceedings. If the instructor of the course is also the Dean of the College, the Provost will designate another Dean within the University to act as the college's monitor of all proceedings.

b. Two ~~[[tenure track]]~~ faculty members **HOLDING ACADEMIC RANK WHO ARE REPRESENTED THROUGH THE CURRENT APPLICABLE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS**, from within the college or school but outside of the unit in which the course was offered shall be appointed. One of these members shall be appointed by the unit. The other shall be appointed

4) The student has not taken prior action to resolve the grade conflict with instructor, as described under H, A.

d. In the event that the committee votes to dismiss the request, a written notice of dismissal must be forwarded to the student, instructor, department chair and dean within five days of the decision, and will state clearly the reasoning for dismissal of the request.

6. Acceptance for consideration of the student's request will result in the following:

a. A request for and receipt of a formal response from the instructor to the student's allegation.

b. A second meeting scheduled to take place within 10 days of the decision to review the request.

1) The student and instructor will be invited to attend the meeting.

2) The meeting will be closed to outside participation, and neither the student nor instructor may be accompanied by an advocate. Other matters of format will be announced in advance.

3) The proceedings will be tape recorded and the tapes will be stored with the campus Judicial Officer.

4) The meeting must be informal, confrontational and fact-finding, where both the student and instructor may provide additional relevant and useful information and can provide clarification of facts for materials previously submitted.

7. The final decision of the committee will be made in private by a majority vote.

a. Actions which the committee can take if it accepts the student's allegation of arbitrary and capricious grading must be directed towards a fair and just resolution.

2) the request for a grade change is upheld; the review committee requests the course instructor to change the grade; and the course instructor changes the grade in accordance with MAU rules and procedures.

3) the request for a grade change is upheld; the course instructor is either unavailable to change the grade or refuses to, and the review committee directs the dean/director to initiate the process specified by MAU rules and procedures to change the grade to that specified by the review committee.

c. A formal, written report of the decision is forwarded to the student, instructor, department chair, dean and Director of Admissions and Records within five days of the meeting.

d. The decision of the committee is final.

-----

**Record of Changes to the Grade Appeals Policy:**

The following is a complete copy of the Grade Appeals Policy as passed by the UAF Faculty Senate at its Meeting #56 (March 20, 1995) and amended at its Meeting #61 (February 5, 1996), Meeting #80 (May 4, 1998), Meeting #83 (September 27, 1999), Meeting #90 (February 1, 2000), Meeting #93 (September 11, 2000), Meeting #96 (November 1, 2000), Meeting #101 (September 11, 2001), Meeting #104 (February 1, 2002), Meeting #108 (September 11, 2002), Meeting #111 (February 1, 2003), Meeting #114 (September 11, 2003), Meeting #117 (February 1, 2004), Meeting #120 (September 11, 2004), Meeting #123 (February 1, 2005), Meeting #126 (September 11, 2005), Meeting #129 (February 1, 2006), Meeting #132 (September 11, 2006), Meeting #135 (February 1, 2007), Meeting #138 (September 11, 2007), Meeting #141 (February 1, 2008), Meeting #144 (September 11, 2008), Meeting #147 (February 1, 2009), Meeting #150 (September 11, 2009), Meeting #153 (February 1, 2010), Meeting #156 (September 11, 2010), Meeting #159 (February 1, 2011), Meeting #162 (September 11, 2011), Meeting #165 (February 1, 2012), Meeting #168 (September 11, 2012), Meeting #171 (February 1, 2013), Meeting #174 (September 11, 2013), Meeting #177 (February 1, 2014), Meeting #180 (September 11, 2014), Meeting #183 (February 1, 2015), Meeting #186 (September 11, 2015), Meeting #189 (February 1, 2016), Meeting #192 (September 11, 2016), Meeting #195 (February 1, 2017), Meeting #198 (September 11, 2017), Meeting #201 (February 1, 2018), Meeting #204 (September 11, 2018), Meeting #207 (February 1, 2019), Meeting #210 (September 11, 2019), Meeting #213 (February 1, 2020), Meeting #216 (September 11, 2020), Meeting #219 (February 1, 2021), Meeting #222 (September 11, 2021), Meeting #225 (February 1, 2022), Meeting #228 (September 11, 2022), Meeting #231 (February 1, 2023), Meeting #234 (September 11, 2023), Meeting #237 (February 1, 2024), Meeting #240 (September 11, 2024), Meeting #243 (February 1, 2025), Meeting #246 (September 11, 2025), Meeting #249 (February 1, 2026), Meeting #252 (September 11, 2026), Meeting #255 (February 1, 2027), Meeting #258 (September 11, 2027), Meeting #261 (February 1, 2028), Meeting #264 (September 11, 2028), Meeting #267 (February 1, 2029), Meeting #270 (September 11, 2029), Meeting #273 (February 1, 2030), Meeting #276 (September 11, 2030).